

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2009 of 2025

=====

Mohan Mishra, Son of Late Raghunath Mishra, Resident of Station Road,
Mishra Market, Dumraon, District Buxar (Bihar), Pin Code-802119.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department,
Govt. of Bihar.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Vigilance, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Buxar.
5. The Executive Officer-Cum-Administrator, Nagar Parishad, Dumraon,
Buxar.
6. The Secretary, Krishi Education and Health Seva Sansthaan, P.S - Sri
Krishna Puri, 800013, Patna.
7. The Chairman, Shivam Jan Swasthya aur Sarvangeen Vikas Kendra, P.S.-
Sri Krishna Puri, Dist.- Patna (Bihar)-, 800013, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhay Kumar Upadhyay, Advocate Mr. Uttam Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Advocate General
For the Nagar Parishad	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Anil Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 21-02-2025

The petitioner, in public interest, seeks
indulgence of this Court in directing for an inquiry with
respect to assignment/allotment of the work of door-to-
door waste collection to only a chosen few.

2. From the own showing of the petitioner, it



appears that on several occasions, short term tenders were floated, but those had to be cancelled because of non-participation of the bidders. Ultimately, with some stringent conditions, a tender was floated requiring the contractor/bidder to have 15 years' experience in the work of segregating solid waste from the garbage and collecting the garbage from door-to-door and dumping it at one place.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that with such onerous condition in the tender document, young and budding agencies have been excluded at the threshold, which is not good for the commercial life of the city.

4. The other grievance is that despite the tenure of the work having been completed with respect to some of the agencies who were allotted work, they were given extension without any valid reason and there had been no documentation for such extension.

5. It appears that this is a litigation on behalf of



persons who could not bag the contract for door-to-door
garbage collection.

6. There does not appear to us, in this matter,
any public interest involved.

7. The writ petition is dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	24.02.2025
Transmission Date	

