

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1450 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3220 Year-2013 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Vaishali

-
1. Singeshwar Singh @ Sinheshwar Singh S/o Late Ram Swaroop Singh R/o Village- Tada Chauri, Vaishali, Bihar, P.S.- Mahnar, Distt.- Vaishali
 2. Jago Singh @ Jagannath Prasad Singh S/o Late Raj Narayan Singh R/o Village- Tada Chauri, Vaishali, Bihar, P.S.- Mahnar, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kaleshwar Devi W/O Nandan Paswan R/O Vill.- Mahnar Tadachoudhi, P.S.- Mahnar, Dist.- Vaishali.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Prabhat
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147,148, 302 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the complainant alleges that the accused persons came variously armed and assaulted her son leading to his death.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case is of the year 2005 and the complainant had initially filed a complaint case based on which Mahnar P.S. Case No.202/2007



came to be instituted. It is next submitted that police after threadbare investigation came to a considered conclusion that petitioners are innocent and thus submitted final form. It is next submitted that the court even accepted the final form but thereafter a complaint-cum-protest petition came to be filed by the complainant, based on which, cognizance came to be taken. The learned counsel thus submits that when one investigating agency came to a considered conclusion after investigation that petitioners are innocent and based on a complaint-cum-protest petitioner, cognizance has been taken, whether it would be prudent for this Court to send the petitioners to jail when one investigating agency has found petitioners innocent. It is also submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will co-operate in the trial to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Complaint Case No.CI 3220/2013, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

