

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3893 of 2025

=====

Kailash Mandal son of Late Sattan Mandal, resident of vill- Birnaudha, P.S.-
Shambhuganj, Dist- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Bhagalpur.
5. The District Magistrate, Banka.
6. The Director Secondary Education Department of Education Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Director Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
8. The District Education Officer, Banka.
9. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kumar Mritunjay Narain, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Anant Prasad Singh, SC- 15
		Mrs. Deepika Sharma, AC to SC- 15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-08-2025

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction upon the respondent concerned to upgrade the Middle School, Birnaudha, Shambhuganj Banka as High School and start education in class 9 as per the Government policy contained in Memo No. 876 dated 07.06.2021.



3. Considering the inaction and irregularities of the concerned respondent, the petitioner has approached before the Public Grievance Redressal Authority. However, despite the order, no action has been taken and as such, the petitioner has also filed representation before the District Education Officer, Banka, a copy of which is marked as Annexure- P/9. It is further contended that for redressal of grievance, identically situated person has also approached before this Court and this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 11632 of 2023 has relegated the matter to the concerned respondent to take appropriate action.

4. Learned Advocate for the State interjected at this position and submitted that the petitioner has no *locus standi* to maintain the present writ petition inasmuch as neither he has any legal or fundamental right with respect to the issue raised before this Court.

5. Be that as it may, considering the grievance of the petitioner and taking note of the fact that the petitioner has already represented before the concerned authority for ventilation of his grievance, the writ petition stands disposed off with a liberty to the petitioner to approach before respondent No. 6 within a period of two weeks from today.

6. In case, such a representation is filed with the



necessary supporting documents, the same shall be considered and dispose off by passing a reasoned and speaking order in the light of the relevant guidelines, in accordance with law.

(Harish Kumar, J)

saurovkrishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	21.08.2025
Transmission Date	NA

