

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90311 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-42 Year-2024 Thana- KAJRA District- Lakhisarai

=====

Salo Yadav Son of Yogendra Yadav Resident of Village- Khaira, P.S.- Kajra,
District- Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Aditya Narayan Singh.1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 26-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504, 506, 354(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Allegation in the First Information Report is that while the minor daughter of the informant was going to coaching institute one Sanjeev Kumar @ Sajan Kumar started teasing her and subsequently other accused persons namely, Vakil Yadav, Salo Yadav (petitioner) also came being armed with pistol and made firing at the informant which missed. Further, Vakil Yadav also assaulted by means of lathi.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it



would be apparent from the First Information Report itself that it was one Sanjeev Kumar @ Sajan Kumar who was teasing the informant's daughter and the allegation of firing by pistol by the petitioner is absolutely false in view of the fact that the informant has sustained no injury whatsoever, much less, firearm injuries. Further, it has also been submitted that the materials collected during the course of investigation also do not disclose that any empty cartridge was recovered from the place of occurrence. It has also been pointed out from paragraph-21 of the case diary that no person has got himself treated at any place with regard to the present allegations. Further, there is a case and counter case and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering all the above mentioned facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioner in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kajra P.S. Case No. 42 of 2024, subject to



the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the
Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

