

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87803 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-566 Year-2025 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

Vikal Pandey @ Vikash Kumar Pandey S/o Muktinath Pandey R/o Village-  
Sarmastpur, P.S- Paroo, Dist- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nachiketa Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Iftekhhar Mahmood,APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      22-12-2025                      Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Paroo (Paru) P.S.Case No.566 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, total 20.31 ltrs. IMF liquor has been recovered, which was lying behind the shop of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that the name of the petitioner has been dragged in the present case due to the village politics.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.



6. Having considered the rival submissions of the parties, as well as, considering the fact that total 20.31 ltrs. IMF liquor has been recovered and the said liquor was lying behind the shop of the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the district court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No.II, Muzaffarpur in connection with Paroo (Paru) P.S.Case No.566 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) of BNSS.

7. The learned district court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in paragraph No.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. It is further directed that at the time of furnishing of the bail bond, the petitioner will produce the paper relating to the shop concerned.

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

chn/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

