

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1175 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-448 Year-2024 Thana- KADAMKUAN District- Patna

Shatrudhan Kumar Son of Late Jawahar Mahto @ Jawar Mahato Vill -Tarma  
Tola Jaffra PS -Bazirganj Distt -Gaya Presently Posted at kankarbagh Police  
station, Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rinku Devi Wife of Chandan Yadav village- Dighi, Ps- Murliganj, dist-  
Madhepura

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                             |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Ms. Shrishti Rani, Advocate |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Saket Gupta, Advocate   |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      18-06-2025                      Heard   Ms. Shrishti Rani, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner, Mr. Saket Gupta, learned counsel for the Informant and  
  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection  
with Special Case No. 163 of 2024 (arising out of Kadamkuan P.S.  
Case No. 448 of 2024 dated 17.06.2024) for the offences punishable  
under Sections 354, 376, 323, 324, 506 of Indian Penal Code and  
Section 5 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant alleged  
that the petitioner established physical relation with her daughter on  
false pretext of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner  
has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present  
case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is



false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the Informant on the basis of materials available on record have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has filed the false Annexure-P2 contrary to the Date of Birth certificate of the victim which suggest that her Date of Birth is 01.01.2007 which suggest that on the date of occurrence the victim was minor and apart from that the petitioner has given his statement which is contrary to the record of the case and apart from that the petitioner established physical relation with the victim and she became pregnant.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Special Case No. 163 of 2024 (arising out of Kadamkuan P.S. Case No. 448 of 2024 dated 17.06.2024) pending in the court of Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Court (POCSO), Patna.

7. Prayer is refused.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Suruchi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

