

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.389 of 2025

In
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39618 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-24 Year-2024 Thana- TARIYANI CHOWK District- Sheohar

Brij Kishore Ray @ Braj Kishore Ray Son of Kamal Ray Resident of Village
- Parambasant (Param Vasant), P.S. - Tariyani, District - Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Pragas Kumar Son of Late Singeshwar Ray Resident of Village -
Parambasant, P.S. - Tariyani, District - Sheohar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

7 25-04-2025 Heard Mr. Sunil Prasad Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner, Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, learned counsel for the

Informant and Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned A.P.P. for the

State.

2. The present modification application has been filed
for modifying the order dated 26.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 39618 of 2024 by which the petitioner has given under
taking that he shall execute the sale deed of 28 decimal of land
in favour of the informant within a period of 8 weeks.

3. The present modification application has been filed
for relaxation of the said condition or alternatively direct the



petitioner to get the land executed within such time as may be directed by this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the Informant submits that number of indulgence has been granted to the petitioner to execute the sale deed as mentioned in the order dated 26.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 39618 of 2024 but despite that, he has not complied with the said condition. In the present modification application also, learned counsel for the petitioner has taken indulgence number of times to inform this Court about the execution of the sale deed by the petitioner in favour of the informant but despite this also, no sale deed has been executed by the petitioner till date.

5. In view of the aforesaid, no case is made for modifying the order dated 26.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 39618 of 2024. Hence, the present modification application stands dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

