

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.175 of 2025

=====

Gupta Ji Brothers Rice Mill Pvt. Ltd. a company registered under the Companies Act, 1956 through its Director Sri Rajiv Kumar (Male), aged about 47 years, son of Ganesh Prasad Gupta, resident of Ward No. 05, New Sidhouli Road, Dalmiyanagar, Post Dalmiyanagar, District Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat Building, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Food and Consumer Protection, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
4. The Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. through its Chief General Manager, Khadya Bhawan, Daroga Prasad Roy Path, R Block, Road No. 2, Patna -800001.
5. The District Manager, State Food Supplies Corporation, Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jai Vardhan Narayan
For the BSFC	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh
		Mrs. Shilpi Singh
For the State	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, AC to GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

17 31-10-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties concerned.

2. The present writ application has been filed by the petitioner company through its Director being aggrieved by the decision of the District Magistrate, Rohtas, Sasaram, not allowing the petitioner's rice mill to be tagged for milling for the year 2024-25 out of 31 Usna Rice Mill which have been registered and verified for making physical verification, however, the petitioner's firm had not been tagged.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner's firm had previously been tagged in the year 2022-23 and 2023-24 and no discrepancy had been point out with regard to the eligibility of the petitioner's rice mill, but in the year, in question i.e. 2024-25, the respondent-authorities on extraneous consideration and that further necessary instruction and guidelines were to be taken from the headquarters rejecting the claim of the petitioner for tagging.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. submits that the petitioner's rice mill was not tagged for the year 2024-25 primarily for the reason that it had been declared defaulter in the year 2012-13.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner denies the submission made by Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. and submits that there is no such pleadings in this regard in the entire record of the case and further submits that a No Dues Certificate has already been issued by the Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd in favour of the petitioner.

6. Be that as it may, since the period of milling for the year 2024-25 has already expired, no positive direction can be issued to the respondent. Accordingly, this writ application is



dismissed as having become infructuous.

7. Insofar as contention of the parties are concerned, the same shall be considered and adjudicated, as and when any future occasion arises.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

