

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2669 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4133 Year-2021 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Amresh Kumar Pandey @ Amaresh Kumar Pandey S/o Satendra Pandey R/o vill - Gangpur, Siswan, P.O. - Gangpur, Siswan, P.s.- Siswan, Distt.- Siwan, at present Hanuman Nagar, Kali Mandir Road, Ram Krishna Nagar, Near Bank Colony, Distt. Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sapna Sajjal W/o Amresh Kumar Pandey, D/o Dinesh Kumar Pandey Resident at present C/o Dr. Shashi Ranjan, Adarsh Colony, Road No. 3, New Brahmpur, P.s.- Ram Krishna Nagar, Patna, Permanent Address R/o vill - Gangpur Siswan, P.O. - Gangpur, Siswan, P.s. - Siswan, Distt.- Siwan

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	Md. Abu Shajar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 05-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.
- 2.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case no.4133C of 2021 registered under sections 498A and 323 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. The case is under 498A and petitioner is the husband. The allegation against the petitioner is of demand of dowry and torture.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



earlier the matter had been sent to the Patna High Court Mediation Centre vide order dated 08.05.2025 but the mediation process has failed. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that marriage took place in the year 2009 and the present complaint was filed in the year 2021 and there is no previous complaint with regard to demand of dowry and torture. The petitioner is always ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour but it is the complainant who never wants to live with the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to co-operate in case/trial.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State as also learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner makes an offer to pay Rs. 3,000/- to his wife in the second week of every month for her basic requirements. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceeding.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below



within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Complaint Case no.4133C of 2021 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023.

8. If the opposite party no. 2 furnishes the Bank account in which the amount can be transferred and yet the petitioner fails to make the aforesaid payment of Rs. 3,000/- on two consecutive dates, the opposite party no. 2 would be at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

