

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89895 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-320 Year-2024 Thana- SAHEBGANJ District- Muzaffarpur

Abhishek Kumar S/O Shyamdeo Manjhi Village- Dumri Chapiya P.S.-
Taraiya, District- Saran Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mala Kumari W/O Munna Paswan Village- Parsauni Jahangir, P.S.-
Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur.
... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Radhesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 15-05-2025 Heard Mr. Radhesh Kumar Sharma, learned counsel

for the petitioner, Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the
Informant and Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, learned APP for the
State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Sahebganj P.S. Case No. 320 of 2024, F.I.R. dated 19.06.2024
for the offences punishable under Sections 376, 420, 504, 506,
120(B), 34 of Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, the petitioner
continuously blackmailed the informant in the name of private
video recorded by the petitioner when she was taking shower and
on the basis of that video, petitioner has established physical
relationship with the informant continuously. Informant is a
married lady and after the video was send to her husband by



petitioner, she has been ousted from her house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it has come during investigation that the petitioner was involved in the present crime in question and the victim, in her statement recorded under Section 164 of Cr.P.C/183 of BNSS has categorically stated that on the pretext of deleting the videos, petitioner took Rs.1,35,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Thirty Five Thousand) from her.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Sahebganj P.S. Case No. 320 of 2024, pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Muzaffarpur.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

