

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1046 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-345 Year-2024 Thana- MUFFASIL District- Aurangabad

Umesh Saw @ Umesh Sao S/O Late Hari Saw R/O Vill.- Naghara, P.S.-
Mufassil, Dist.- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 19-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 80(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.,
2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along
with other co-accused persons are said to have killed the
daughter of the informant due to non-fulfillment of dowry
demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has
falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that the
petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased. The husband of the



deceased is already in judicial custody. The postmortem report does not corroborate the prosecution case. He further submits that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 16.11.2024. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the informant's daughter was married on 18.04.2024 and the occurrence took place on 12.09.2024 i.e. within five months.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that there is ample material against the petitioner in the case diary, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer of regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected in connection with Aurangabad (Muffasil) P.S. Case No.345 of 2024.

7. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charges.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

