

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87106 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-90 Year-2025 Thana- SAHARGHAT District- Madhubani

1. Sagiyani Devi @ Sangyani Devi @ Sanjani Devi, Wife of Shatrudhan Yadav @ Shatrughan Yadav, Resident of village - Kerwa, P.S.- Saharghat, District - Madhubani.
2. Nagina Devi, Daughter of Shatrudhan Yadav @ Shatrughan Yadav, Wife of Nagendra Yadav, Resident of village - Kerwa, P.S.- Saharghat, District - Madhubani. At present resident of village Raima, P.S.- Saharghat, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gagandeo Yadav, Advocate Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate Mr. Udheshya Kr. Yadav, Advocate Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binod Kumar, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Ranjay Kumar, Advocate Mr. Saurabh Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Saharghat P.S. Case No. 90 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the marriage of the deceased, Indira Devi was solemnized in year 2019 with one Nitish Yadav. At the time of marriage, sufficient gifts were given. It is further alleged that the deceased was subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry



demand by her in-laws. It is further alleged that her in-laws have killed her and hanged her body from the ceiling fan to give the impression of suicide.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner no.1 is mother-in-law and petitioner no.2 is married sister-in-law of the deceased and both have been falsely implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus and there is no specific allegation against these petitioners. They are having no criminal antecedent and they are languishing in judicial custody since 12.07.2025.

5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that there is allegation against the petitioners that they have also assaulted the deceased.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
C.J.M., Madhubani in connection with Saharghat P.S. Case
No.90 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

