

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19818 of 2024

=====

Bijay Kumar Son of Girdhari Singh, Resident of village - Ekderwa, P.S.
Uchkagaon, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. Additional Director General of Police (Headquarter), Bihar, Patna.
4. Additional Director General of Police (Crime Investigation Department), Bihar, Patna.
5. Inspector General of Police (Headquarter), Bihar, Patna.
6. Assistant Inspector General of Police (Welfare), Bihar, Patna.
7. Superintendent of Police (C) of Crime Investigation Department, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Shruti Sinha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Advocate 05

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 27-02-2025

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents-State.

2. This petition has been preferred by the petitioner
being aggrieved with the order dated 27.11.2024 i.e. Annexure-
P/15, whereby and whereunder the representation submitted by
the petitioner has been rejected.

3. The petitioner herein and other persons who were
the office bearers of the Bihar Police Association, Patna have



been transferred, as contained in Memo No. 49 dated 23.01.2024, Memo No. 58 dated 25.01.2024 and Memo No. 158 dated 29.02.2024 on various grounds, which has been challenged by them being C.W.J.C. No. 5147 of 2024, which has been disposed of by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide its order dated 29.10.2024. As per direction given by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner submitted his representation before the respondents which has been rejected by the respondent/competent authority vide Annexure-P/15. Hence, this petition has been filed by the petitioner.

4. Perusal of the impugned order, Annexure-P/15, shows that while rejecting the representation of the petitioner and other persons, it was directed them to submit their three options for consideration of their place of posting.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per direction of the respondent, he filed an application giving his option and accordingly, he has now been transferred to a new place of posting as per option given by him, meaning thereby, as of now, with regard to the place of posting, there is no grievance remains of the petitioner. Therefore, the petition became infructuous on this count.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as



infructuous.

7. However, as prayed by the counsel for the petitioner, the respondents are directed to clear all admissible dues of the petitioner within 30 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

shailendra/-

AFR/NAFR	NA
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	04.03.2025
Transmission Date	NA

