

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.875 of 2025

1. Surya Prakash Son of Sri Sunil Kumar Resident of Mohalla- Gol Bagicha Gabraper P.S. Kotwali P.O. - R M S Kotwali Distt.- Gaya, At Present posted as Prakhand Teacher Middle School Bhalua- II.
2. Pankaj Kumar Son of Sri Ram Sewak Yadav, Resident of village - Baraini, P.O. and P.S.- Cherki, Distt. - Gaya, At present posted as Prakhand Teacher Upgraded Middle School Rajwa Mohanpur Gaya.
3. Vidaya Kumari, D/o Sri Ram Prakash Sharma, Resident of village- Bhelawar, P.O.- Tejbigha, P.S. - Bhelawar Distt. - Jehanabad, At present posted as Panchayat Teacher at Primary School Manglichak Gurua Gaya.
4. Kumari Archana, D/o- Bishundev Narayan Singh, Resident of Mohalla - Saketpuri Colony Mangrawan House Katari Hill Road near Dr. Manju Sinha P.S. - Rampur Distt. - Gaya, At Present Posted s Panchayat Primary Teacher at Primary School Miradadpur Guraru Distt. - Gaya.
5. Abhilasha W/o Rajeev Nayan Resident of Mohalla- Bishunu Bihar Manpur P.S. - Gaya (M) Distt. - Gaya at present posted as Prakhand Teacher at Upgraded Middle School Kanchanama P.S. Makhadumpur Distt. - Jehanabad.
6. Sanjay Kumar, Son of Atwar Ram, Resident of village - Kaler, P.O. - Kaler, Distt. - Arwal At Present posted as Panchayat Primary Teacher at Primary School Miradadpur Guraru Gaya.

... .. Petitioners.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Addl Chief Secretary Education Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director Primary Education Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Distt. Education Officer Gaya.
6. The Distt. Education Officer Jehanabad.
7. The Distt. Education Officer Arwal.

... .. Respondents.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar No.1, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Standing Counsel-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 23-01-2025 Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

after going through the prayer made in this writ application, it

appears that the petitioners have moved before this Court



without availing the alternative and efficacious remedy as available to them in law.

2. In such view of the matter, this writ application is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to file an appeal before the concerned authority and the concerned authority is directed to dispose of the appeal in accordance with law without being impeded by the issue of limitation.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Trivedi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

