

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89146 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2024 Thana- NAUHATTA District- Rohtas

1. Naveen Kumar Son of Sri Rajendra Choudhary resident of village- Daranagar, P.S.- Nouhatta, District- Rohtas at Sasaram
2. Amarnath Kumar @ Pawan S/o- Sri Shivpujan Choudhary resident of village- Daranagar, P.S.- Nouhatta, District- Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. D.K. Sinha, Sr. Adv. Mr. Alexander Ashok, Adv. Mr. Jawed Akhtar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

5 31-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 95 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the accused persons called the informant's nephew to attend a feast at the school and when he reached there, they committed his murder.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence as alleged against them and have falsely been implicated in the



present case. The petitioners were neither apprehended on spot nor anything incriminating has been recovered from their conscious possession. The petitioner no.1 has no criminal antecedent whereas petitioner no.2 has one criminal antecedent as has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the present anticipatory bail application. The petitioners are not named in the F.I.R. and their names have surfaced in this case in course of investigation on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused Atul Kumar @ Banti recorded before the police which has got no evidentiary value in the eye of law. There is no specific or direct allegation of any overt act against the petitioners rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. The specific allegation is against the co-accused Ankit and Ritesh Kumar @ Panda.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the co-accused Triloki Chaudhary has been granted regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 23.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 89944 of 2024.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

7. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, let the petitioners above-



named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 95 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

