

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3513 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-439 Year-2024 Thana- CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- Saran

Ranjeet Kumar @ Ranjeet Mahto @ Bhim @ Bheem, son of Ramjee Mahto
@ Ramji Mahto R/O- Barbatta PS- Sonpur District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail, who is in custody
in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 439 of 2024
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 331(4) and
305(a) of the B.N.S.

3. In the night of 20.07.2024, while the informant was
sleeping in his house, in the meantime, some unknown thieves
entered in his dwelling house and stealthily stolen away all the
valuables mentioned in the FIR; the cost of which is estimated
in lacs.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that
the FIR has been instituted against unknown miscreants.
However, during the course of investigation, one Ashok Mahto



was apprehended by the police and the name of the petitioner has surfaced on the confessional statement of said co-accused. Save and except the confessional statement, there is no material suggesting complicity of the petitioner in the crime. Moreover, the petitioner has been incarcerated since 13.09.2024. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contended that after implication of the name of the petitioner in the present crime, his name has also been implicated in altogether five other identical nature of crime, as has been mentioned in para-3 of the bail application.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the implication of the name of the petitioner in five other criminal cases clearly suggest that he is habitual offender indulged in the activity of theft.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the fact that the entire case against the petitioner is based upon the confessional statement, moreover, the crime in question is triable by the Magistrate and now the investigation is complete and the charge-sheet has been submitted, let the petitioner, named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate,



Chapra, Saran in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 439 of 2024, subject to the condition that one of the bailors will be the close relatives of the petitioner with further conditions which are as follows:-

(i) The petitioner will cooperate in conclusion of the trial.

(ii) He will remain present on each and every date of trial till disposal of the case.

(iii) He will not try to tamper with the evidence or intimidate the witnesses to delay the disposal of trial.

(iv) In the event of default of two consecutive dates without any cogent reason, his bail bonds will liable to be cancelled.

(v) The court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and, in case, at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take immediate step for cancelling the bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds, in terms of the above-mentioned order, shall not be delayed for this purpose or in the name of verification.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

