

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2665 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2019 Thana- BUXAR MUFFSIL District- Buxar

1. Satyendra Yadav, Son of Vishwanath Yadav, Resident of Village- Chhotki Kulhariya ,PS- Buxar (Muffasil), District- Buxar
2. Mugalal Yadav, son of Vishwanath Yadav, Resident of Village- Chhotki Kulhariya, PS- Buxar (Muffasil) District- Buxar
3. Munna Yadav, Son of Vishwanath Yadav, Resident of Village- Chhotki Kulhariya, PS- Buxar (Muffasil), District- Buxar
4. Guddu Yadav, son of Vishwanath Yadav, Resident of Village- Chhotki Kulhariya, PS- Buxar (Muffasil), District- Buxar
5. Vijay Yadav, son of Ram Kishun Yadav, Resident of Village- Chhotki Kulhariya, PS- Buxar (Muffasil), District- Buxar
6. Amarjeet @ Amarjeet Yadav @ Bachha Yadav, son of Ramasharay Yadav Resident of Village- Chhotki Basauli, PS- Buxar (Muffasil) District- Buxar
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Pradhan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with
Buxar Muffasil P.S. Case No. 192 of 2019 dated 06.07.2019
instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307,
379, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that when the
informant started demanding Rs. 1,30,000/- which was given to
his elder brother, all the F.I.R. named accused persons started



abusing and assaulting the informant by fists and kicks. It is further alleged that petitioner no. 4, namely, Guddu Yadav assaulted by means of iron rod on the head of the informant due to which he sustained bleeding injury and fell down.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that both sides are agnates. There is land dispute between the parties. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that except petitioner no. 4, there is general and omnibus allegation against petitioner nos. 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6. As per the injury report, the informant received two injuries. The doctor opined that both the injuries were caused by hard and blunt substances and the nature of injuries is simple. Lastly, it has been submitted that petitioner nos. 1, 2 & 6 have one criminal case against them and petitioner nos. 3 to 5 have no criminal antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, in the event of arrest / surrender of the petitioners within a period of six weeks from today, in connection with Buxar Muffasil P.S. Case No. 192 of 2019, they will be released on bail upon furnishing bail



bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M. Buxar, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Khatim Reza, J)

premchand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

