

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.831 of 2025**

1. Uday Kant Jha Son of Late Kapleshwar Jha, Resident of Ward No. 6, Kamat Bhawanipur, P.O. Pandaul, P.S.- Bhawanipur, District Madhubani.
2. Vijay Kant Jha, Son of Late Kapleshwar Jha, Resident of Pandaul Arazi, P.O. Pandaul, P.s. Bhawanipur, District Madhubani.
3. Narayan Thakur, Son of Kameshwar Thakur, Ward No. 6, Near Ugranath Mandir, P.O. Pandaul, P.S. Bhawanipur, District- Madhubani.
4. Shri Shri 108 Ugranath Mahadev Mandir (Ugna Mandir), Village- Bhawanipur, P.O.- Pandaul, P.S. Bhawanipur, District- Madhubani represented through its Pujari Uday Kant Jha (petitioner no. 1) (Male) aged about 64 years Son of Late Kapleshwar Jha resident of Ward No. 6, Kamat Bhawanipur, P.O. Pandaul, P.S. Bhawanipur, District Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Board of Religious Trusts The Administrator, Bihar State Hindu Religious Trust Board, Patna.
2. The President, Bihar State Board of Religious Trust, Vidyapati Marg, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Bihar State Board of Religious Trust, Vidyapati, Marg, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
5. The Circle Officer, Pandaul, Madhubani.
6. The Administrator, Bihar State Hindu Religious Trust Board, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                      |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Jitendra Kishore Verma, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Government Pleader (26)          |
| For the res no.6     | : | Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Advocate            |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      24-02-2025                      Heard Mr. Jitendra Kishore Verma, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Ravi Ranjan, learned counsel for the respondent no.6 and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners outrightly submits that the newly added respondent no.6 has not decided



the question which has been raised in the writ petition as yet.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present writ petition may be disposed of with the direction to the newly added respondent no.6(The Administrator, Bihar State Hindu Religious Trust Board, Patna) to decide the issue which has been raised in the present writ petition.

4. In view of the aforesaid, respondent no.6 (The Administrator, Bihar State Hindu Religious Trust Board, Patna) is directed to decide the issue which has been raised in the present writ petition within a period of four weeks after hearing all the concerned in accordance with law and also directed to pass a reasoned and speaking order within the aforesaid period.

5. With the aforesaid direction/liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

ajay/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

