

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1009 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2024 Thana- MOKAMAH District- Patna

Guddu Mandal son of Pramod Mandal village- Tardih, Ps- Amarpur, Dist-
Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 22-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Mokama P.S. Case No. 68 of 2024 instituted for the offence
under Sections 395 & 397 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case in short is that truck driver on
02.03.2024, while returning from Chhapra, was accosted with
seven armed miscreants who were in a white Scorpio, who have
assaulted him, and hijacked the vehicle. Miscreants also robbed
him of Rs.45,000/- and a mobile phone before abandoning him
and his assistant near a railway bridge.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that the petitioner is in custody since 29-09-2024. Petitioner



bears one criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case in course of investigation. Petitioner was not even put on T.I. Parade. No recovery is made from the possession of the petitioner. Charge sheet is submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is submitted that bail of co-accused, from whom truck was recovered, has been granted by this Court vide order dated 28-03-2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 18323 of 2025.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and there being no recovery from the petitioners possession, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail, *after framing of charge, if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Mokama P.S.
Case No. 68 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

