

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85554 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2025 Thana- Sarbahada District- Gaya

Ram Nandan Yadav @ Pandi Ji @ Ram Nandan Kumar S/O Late Dip
Narayan Yadav @ Late Deepan Yadav, R/o Vill.- Jharha, P.S- Sarbahda, Dist.-
Gayaji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Swati Parmar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. M.K. Nirala, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 24-12-2025 Heard Ms. Swati Parmar, learned Advocate for the
petitioner and Mr. M.K. Nirala, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sarbahda P.S. Case No. 96 of 2025 dated 07.08.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352 and 351(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. In the backdrop of previous dispute, it is alleged that the petitioner along with one Gautam Kumar made exhortation and co-accused Ram Kumar Yadav has fired upon the informant, which hit to the informant's son due to which he sustained serious injury.

4. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submitted that so far as the injury which is allegedly sustained to the informant's son is concerned, the same is found to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance and as such, prima facie it does not corroborate the allegation. Moreover, even if the allegation is taken to be true for the sake of argument, the petitioner is said to be an order giver along with another co-accused person and there is no specific accusation of any overt act. The reason behind the false implication is the criminal antecedent besides village politics and the parties are agnates who are bickering over the land dispute. The petitioner undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the Court and would not indulge in such activities in future.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that petitioner has actively participated in the crime.

6. Regard being had to the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and considering the nature of accusation, coupled with the genesis of occurrence besides the fact that the injured has sustained simple nature of injuries, let the petitioner, above-named, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court



below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV, Gayaji in connection with **Sarbahda P.S. Case No. 96 of 2025**, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023, with the further conditions:

(i) one of the bailors shall be the own / close family members of the petitioner.

(ii) in case the petitioner is found indulged in intimidating the informant or witness or has further been found indulged in such activities the informant or the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of his bail bond.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

