

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86497 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-21 Year-2025 Thana- LAKHAURA District- East Champaran

Chhatish Kumar @ Satish Kumar S/O Sanjay Kumar Sahani @ Sanjay Sahani
Resident of village - Bahuari,P.S- Lakhaura,Dist- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Minata Kumari D/O Prabhu Sahni Resident of village - Bahuari,P.S-
Lakhaura,Dist- East Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2025 Heard Mr. Abhishek Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Lakhaura P.S. Case No. 21 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 64, 303(2) of the B.N.S.,
2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the
petitioner has established physical relationship with the
informant for three years, and when she got pregnant, he denied
marrying her. It is further alleged that the petitioner has taken
Rs. 20,000/- for marriage.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in this case, there is no date of occurrence in the FIR. As per the case of the prosecution, the physical relationship was established over the last three years, but the informant has not complained. It has also been submitted that in this case, the informant is a major, and the period for which there is an allegation that they were in a physical relationship itself goes to show that the relationship was a consensual one. Though during the course of the investigation, the victim has supported the case of the prosecution but the manner in which the case has been filed itself creates doubt on the occurrence. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 06.05.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named



petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge, Exclusive Special Judge (Rape & POCSO cases) East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Lakhaura P.S. Case No. 21 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

