

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89939 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-481 Year-2022 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

=====

Jaymala Devi W/O Dablu Mandal R/O Vill.- Laxmipur, P.S.- Amarpur,
District- Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Raj Kumar S/O Naresh Mandal R/O Vill.- Laxmipur, P.S.- Amarpur,
District- Banka.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Roshan Kumar Mishra, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 21-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Spl.
POCSO Case No. 66 of 2022 arising out of Amarpur P. S. Case
No. 481 of 2022 in a case registered for the offence punishable
under Section 366(A)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 14.08.2022 at 08:00
P.M., the petitioner along with other co-accused persons took
away the daughter of the informant and when the informant
went at the house of the accused persons, he was abused,
assaulted and threatened with dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits she was
made accused in this case merely because she is aunt of co-



accused Rahul Kumar. She is living separately and has got no concern with co-accused Rahul Kumar. During investigation, the victim has stated that she was in love affair with co-accused Rahul Kumar and he called her on telephone on 14.08.2022. They went away and got married in a temple. The victim did not whisper a single word against the petitioner in her 161 Cr.P.C. statement. Both of them have solemnized marriage and are living together as husband and wife and now, they have also been blessed with a female child. The co-accused Rahul Kumar is already in custody. The petitioner is in custody since 16.11.2024 and has clean antecedent. Chargesheet has already been submitted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and period of custody, the bail application is allowed.

7. Let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-6-cum-Spl. Judge, POCSO, Banka in connection with Spl. POCSO Case No. 66 of 2022 arising out of



Amarpur P. S. Case No. 481 of 2022.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

