

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1583 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2022 Thana- BHELDI District- Saran

=====

Pintu Kumar S/O Hari Rai R/O Village – Umarpur, Police Station- Bheldi,
District - Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Nityanand, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 11-04-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial no.309 of 2023 (arising out of Bheldi P.S. Case no.2 of 2022) registered under sections 363, 366 and 365 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along with others are said to have kidnapped the granddaughter of the informant and of having committed rape on the victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the earlier prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide orders dated 6.2.2023 and 14.5.2024. In spite of the petitioner having remained in custody since 16.3.2022, the trial has still not concluded nor is there any chance of the same concluding in the



near future. The alleged victim has not supported the prosecution case and there is no chance of conviction of the petitioner. The petitioner undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant. Learned counsel for the informant, in reference to the counter affidavit filed, submits that till the time of swearing of the counter affidavit, all the six chargesheet witnesses except Rani Kumari had been examined. Learned counsel further submits that as per instructions received, the said Rani Kumari has also been examined on 3.4.2025.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR, the material that has transpired in course of investigation and the trial having reached near its conclusion with examination of all the prosecution witnesses, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

