

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89472 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-206 Year-2024 Thana- SAMASTIPUR District- Samastipur

Dilip Ram @ Dileep Ram Son of Shivji Ram R/o- Kamrai @ Kamrain, P.S.-  
Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3      24-03-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Samastipur Town P.S. Case No. 206 of 2024 instituted for the  
offences under Sections 316(2), 316(5), 318(4), 132, 338,  
336(3), 340(2), 61(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the  
petitioner is of permitting joining of a fake teacher namely  
Nikhat Perween in his school after receiving an amount of Rs.  
35,000/- from the co-accused Parwez.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged  
against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner, being the headmaster of a school, has neither defalcated any farthing nor there is an evidence that the petitioner may be held responsible for embezzlement for the public money. The petitioner has never cheated any person and has never received any illegal gratification/money and, thus, the petitioner has not committed any forgery or interpolation of any public record. He further submits that neither any enquiry was setup nor show-cause was asked from the petitioner and in great haste, the petitioner was called and without affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, the petitioner has been made accused in this case. There is not an iota of documentary evidence which shows the implication of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence. He further submits that except forceful confessional statement of the petitioner, there is nothing against him so as to implicate in the present case. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 28.09.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner,



stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner and the petitioner having no criminal antecedent, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Samastipur Town P.S. Case No. 206 of 2024.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

rishi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

