

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1523 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-17 Year-2021 Thana- JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Jehanabad

=====

Ramanand Paswan S/O Late Ganari Paswan R/O Village - Chaupaha, P.S-
Ghosi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Deomanti Devi @ Devanti Devi W/O Ramanand Paswan R/O Village -
Chaupaha, P.S- Ghosi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Rajendra Prasad Nat, APP
For the complainant	:	Mr. Rajendra Pd. Nut, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 30-04-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the complainant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case no.17 of 2021 registered under section
498A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the complainat states
that her husband Ramanand Paswan, the petitioner herein,
started to assault the informant mentally and physically on
account of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and also ousted
her from matrimonial house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The allegation



of demand of dowry and torture is false and concocted. It is a peculiar case where both the petitioner and the opposite party no. 2 are the senior citizens and have been fighting unnecessarily. The dispute between the parties is only with respect to the fact that the opposite party no. 2 wants to reside in a house which has been allotted to her under the Indra Awas Yojana. The petitioner, however, controverts the same by stating that the said house neither belongs to the petitioner nor to the opposite party no. 2 but the same belongs to his nephew and it is not possible for him to take opposite party no. 2 in that house. The petitioner is always ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour where he stays, to which, the opposite party no. 2 does not agree.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State as also learned counsel for the complainant.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Complaint Case no.17 of 2021 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Jehanab, subject to the condition
laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal
Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

