

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88211 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2024 Thana- Garahara District- Begusarai

-
1. Sita Devi @ Seeta Devi Wife of Vijay Shankar Rai @ Chunchun Rai Vill -Baro, Rampur Tola, PS- Garhara, Distt -Begusarai
 2. Vijay Shankar Rai @ Chunchun Rai son of Valmiki Rai Vill -Baro, Rampur Tola, PS- Garhara, Distt -Begusarai
 3. Ranjan Kumar @ Rajan Kumar son of Vijay Shankar Rai @ Chunchun Rai Vill -Baro, Rampur Tola, PS- Garhara, Distt -Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Amritesh Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Rita Verma, APP. Mr. Sandeep Kumar Gautam, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

- 2 10-01-2025 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.
2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304(B), 498(A), 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. All the F.I.R. named accused persons including the petitioners, on non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, in furtherance of the common intention are said to have committed murder of the granddaughter of the informant.
4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no



offence. No offence as alleged has ever taken place. Petitioners are mother-in-law, father-in-law and brother-in-law of the deceased, respectively. They have falsely been implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. All the allegations levelled against the petitioners are totally false and based on concocted facts. From bare perusal of the postmortem report of the deceased, it is evident that no any external injury was found found over her body. The husband of the deceased is already in judicial custody since 19.07.2024. It is further submitted that nothing specific has been attributed against the petitioners. Petitioner no.1 has been languishing in custody since 16.11.2024, whereas petitioner nos. 2 & 3 have been languishing in custody since 14.11.2024. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner nos. 1 & 3 have no criminal antecedent and petitioner no.2 has one criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of the bail application.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, since the petitioners are in-laws of the deceased and there is no specific overt act against them, the above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/the Successor Court, in connection with Garhara P.S. Case No. 15 of 2024.

7. Petitioners are directed to cooperate in the trial. If the petitioners fail to appear before the learned Court below on two consecutive dates fixed in the case, the prosecution will be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioners before the learned Court below.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

