

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1871 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-401 Year-2022 Thana- RIVILGANJ District- Saran

Subas Kumar Singh S/O Virendra Singh Resident of Enai, P.S- Rivilganj,
District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anjani Parashar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 12-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and Mr. Manish Chandra Gandhi, learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406,
467, 468, 471 and 472 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
informant submits that the case was taken up on 05.02.2025
when it was submitted by the learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the petitioner that petitioner, after institution of the
instant FIR, realized that the informant has been duped by
Raghvendra Singh in the name of selling her land and the
petitioner was completely unaware that the money which was
credited in his account on the direction of Raghvendra Singh
was based on forgery committed by him.



4. Learned counsel for the informant further submits that the petitioner realizing that the amount credited in his account was tainted money, as such, agreed to return the same and the same was recorded in the order dated 05.02.2025 and the case was directed to be listed on 21.03.2025. It is next submitted that thereafter the case was taken up on 18.04.2025 when the learned counsel for the petitioner sought one month's time for resolving the dispute and the case was directed to be listed on 27.06.2025. Thereafter, the case was taken up on 27.06.2025 when the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, based on instruction, submitted that petitioner is trying to sell his land for returning the amount of the informant which was credited in his account and, thus, sought two months' time and the case was directed to be listed on 12.09.2025.

5. Today, when the case is taken up, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that today again the petitioner requested him to seek time but then no reason for seeking time was assigned. It is further submitted that the petitioner was clearly requested to give reason as to why he seeks time and by what time he intends to return the amount to the informant which was credited in his account on which no reply came.



6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, it appears that petitioner despite being aware that the money which was credited in his account belongs to the informant and he was even ready to repay the same but then apart from seeking time for returning the amount no endeavours have been made which amply demonstrates that petitioner was only interested in seeking time with no genuine concern for returning the amount, as such, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Rivilganj P.S. Case No. 401 of 2022 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Saran at Chapra/Successor Court.

7. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

