

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89043 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-376 Year-2024 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

=====

Randhir Kumar @ Randheer Kumar @ Adhil S/O Ram Pravesh Mochi
Resident of village Datiana, P.S- Bikram, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aditya Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 08-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bikram
P.S. Case No. 376 of 2024 registered for the offences under
Section 96 of BNS.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that he, in
the early morning of 04.09.2024, had taken away the minor
daughter of the informant along with the gold and silver
ornaments with the purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. There was a love affair between the petitioner and the
victim girl and the victim girl had gone along with the petitioner



out of her own sweet will and they have also married. The learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court towards para no. 37 of the case diary wherein it has been mentioned that the Aadhar of the victim girl was submitted by the prosecution side and it was found that the date of birth of the victim girl was 31.10.2005. The learned counsel further draws the attention of this Court that the victim girl had refused for medical examination and in her 180 BNSS statement she has categorically stated that she had gone with the petitioner out of her own sweet will and she had even married the petitioner in a temple. Learned counsel has lastly submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody since 09.10.2024.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail and submit that the petitioner has kidnapped a minor girl for the purpose of marriage.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions and taking into account the statement of the victim girl before the police and also the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 09.10.2024, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned A.C.J.M-VI, Danapur in connection Bikram P.S. Case
No. 376 of 2024 subject to the conditions that

- a. The petitioner shall remain physically present in
Court on each date of the trial.
- b. In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in
violation of the terms of the bail and in case the
learned Trial Court is of the opinion that the trial is
being delayed due to non-cooperation on part of
the petitioner, the learned Trial Court may cancel
the bail bond of the petitioner and take him into
custody till conclusion of the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

