

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.445 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2022 Thana- BAKHARI District- Begusarai

=====

Kumari Veena Wife of Ashok Kumar Mahto @ Ashok Mahto Resident of
Village- Makkhachak Ward no. 17, Nagar Parishad Bakhri PS- Bakhri, Distt.-
Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Govt. of Bihar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Md Ataul Haque- Advocate
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar- Law Officer
For the State	:	Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh- A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-02-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Vigilance and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of her

arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal

Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

the petitioner has antecedent of five cases and is a woman. It is

further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is that

Laxmi Kumari in the Year 2011 had scored 110 marks in

BETET Examination and based on that she had secured



appointment, but on verification, it was found that the mark-sheet actually belongs to one Anand Kumar.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was one of the Member of the Appointment Committee and when the certificates were submitted by the candidates seeking appointment as Teacher, at that point of time, the certificate is not verified and subsequently, in terms of the rules, the certificate submitted is required to be verified by the concerned institution. It is next submitted that no prudent person knowing that the candidate, who has submitted the certificate is forged and fabricated still would give appointment and thus, create evidence against himself/ herself. It is fairly submitted that there may be some dereliction on the part of the petitioner, but then, petitioner is not a criminal.

5. The learned Law Officer appearing on behalf of the Vigilance as well as learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on her furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees



Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Begusarai in connection with Bakhri P. S. Case No.43 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

