

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86745 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1271 Year-2014 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE
District- Rohtas

SATISH KUMAR SINGH S/o- Hridya Singh @ Hirdya Narayan Singh R/v-
Khanethi Ps- Kudra Po- Badhola Dist- Kaimur Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Hare Ram Singh S/o- Vijay Singh R/v- Sonbarsa Ps- Kargahar Dist- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Anmol Kumar, Adv. Mr. Kumar Vikram, Adv. Ms. Deepali Singh, Adv. Mr. Raushan Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Pronoti Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1271 of 2014 for the offence under Sections 379 and 504 of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the complainant alleged that the petitioner induced him to issue two cheques of Rs. 5,00,000/- each on the pretext of entering into a partnership in a rice mill. Subsequently, when the complainant realized that he had been cheated, he asked to return the cheques. The petitioner informed him that the cheques had been lost.



Thereafter, the complainant intimated his bank regarding the loss of the said cheques. It is further alleged that on 17.11.2014, the petitioner, along with two or three unknown associates, visited the complainant's office and abused and threatened him that if the cheques are not encashed, the complainant would have to face dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged in the F.I.R. The complainant has implicated the petitioner when he got to know about the bouncing of the cheque on 14.11.2014, and thus the present complaint case has been registered on a false pretext on 18.11.2014. Learned counsel further submits that, as per the complaint petition itself, the two cheques allegedly issued by the complainant were never encashed in favour of the petitioner. It is further contended that, in relation to the same dispute, the petitioner has also instituted a complaint case against the present complainant. It is also submitted that as per para 3 of the bail application, petitioner has got clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, and considering that this is purely a civil dispute as also the clean



antecedent of the petitioner, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram, in connection with Complaint Case No. 1271 of 2014 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., as also with the condition that one of the bailors should be close relative of the petitioner.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

