

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89677 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-525 Year-2024 Thana- BEGUSARAI TOWN District- Begusarai

Vinay Kumar Son of Ram shankar Singh Resident of Village-Hanuman Gadhi  
, Ward no- 45, P.S Mufassil, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 90126 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-525 Year-2024 Thana- BEGUSARAI TOWN District- Begusarai

Dev Chandra Kumar @ Deva S/o Shankar Singh @ Shankar Kunwar R/o  
Village- Bakhadda, P.S.- Matihani, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**  
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 89677 of 2024)  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Sen Prasad Singh  
For the Informant : Mr. Sarvottam Kumar  
Ms. Asmita Bharti  
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 90126 of 2024)  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nidhi Anand  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Renu Kumari  
For the Informant : Mr. Sarvottam Kumar  
Ms. Asmita Bharti

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      22-03-2025      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners of both the  
  
aforesaid cases, learned counsel for the informant and learned  
  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with  
  
Town P.S. Case No. 525 of 2024 registered for the offence under



Sections 109(1), 118(1), 3(5) of the BNS and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the allegation is of firing against the petitioners but the informant is not an eye-witness and he has said that he had come to know from his nephew that it is the petitioners who have fired at the victim but the nephew, in the statement recorded during investigation has not taken the name of the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that since the petitioners have been named by the informant as assailant of the victim and there is injury upon the victim, therefore the petitioners does not deserve bail.

5. Considering the fact that the informant is not an eye-witness and the person who is said to have disclosed the name of the petitioners to the informant has not taken the name of the petitioners in his statement recorded during investigation, this application is allowed.

6. Let the petitioners of both the aforesaid cases, be released on bail **after framing of charge** on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial



Magistrate, Begusarai in connection with Town P.S. Case No. 525 of 2024.

7. As a condition of this order, the petitioners, after being released on bail are directed to co-operate in the trial either by appearing personally or through his lawyer in the trial Court on each and every date fixed by the trial Court. Non-compliance of the condition will result in cancellation of the bail bonds of the petitioners.

**(Sandeep Kumar, J)**

Vikas/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

