

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89689 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-253 Year-2023 Thana- DEWARIA District- Muzaffarpur

Munna Kumar Sah @ Munna Kumar Son of Chandrika Sah Resident of  
Village-Dharphari PS Deoria District Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Indrajeet Bhushan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      02-04-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Deoria P.S. Case No. 253 of 2023 dated 04.12.2023 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 304B, 201 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have killed the informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and concealed the dead body of the deceased.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is the husband of the deceased and he has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner neither



demanded any dowry nor tortured the informant's daughter. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 03.05.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased who killed his wife due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. Learned counsel has further submitted that the alleged offence has taken place within one year of marriage.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of offence is against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail.

7. Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

8. The application stands rejected.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Gautam/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

