

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1948 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-587 Year-2023 Thana- NATHNAGAR District- Bhagalpur

Ajay Mehtar S/o Kuldep Mehtar R/o Village- Salator, P.S.- Nathnagar,
District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Swapnil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 19-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S. T.
No. 899 of 2023 arising out of Nathnagar P.S. Case No. 587 of
2023 instituted for the offences under Sections 302, 201, 34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, on the alleged
date and time, the dead body of the informant's brother was
found lying at CTS Campus Nathnagar who was done to death
by cutting his neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner



transpired in this case during investigation. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case and charge has also been framed against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 10.08.2023 and has twenty-one criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that weapon used in the alleged occurrence have also been recovered from the house of the petitioner and wife of the petitioner has himself disclosed the manner in which this petitioner committed the murder of the deceased which is corroborated by the post-mortem report.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today. If any such application is filed, the learned Trial Court shall



consider the same on its own merit without being prejudiced by
this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

