

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1221 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-792 Year-2023 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
Saran

-
1. Birendra Chaudhary Son Of Late Shyam Bihari Chaudhary @ Avadh Bihari Chaudhary Resident Of Mauza - Fatehpur, Ps - Manjhi, District -saran At Present Residing At Mohalla - Shyam Chak, Hanuman Nagar, Ps Bhagwan Bazar, District Saran
 2. Prabhawati Devi Wife Of Birendra Chaudhary Resident Of Mauza - Fatehpur, Ps - Manjhi, District -saran At Present Residing At Mohalla - Shyam Chak, Hanuman Nagar, Ps Bhagwan Bazar, District Saran
 3. Sonu Chaudhary Son Of Birendra Chaudhary Resident Of Mauza - Fatehpur, Ps - Manjhi, District -saran At Present Residing At Mohalla - Shyam Chak, Hanuman Nagar, Ps Bhagwan Bazar, District Saran
 4. Rohit Kumar Chaudhary @ Rohit Chaudhary Son Of Birendra Chaudhary Resident Of Mauza - Fatehpur, Ps - Manjhi, District -saran At Present Residing At Mohalla - Shyam Chak, Hanuman Nagar, Ps Bhagwan Bazar, District Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manti Devi W/O - Ram Ratan Chaudhary Resident Of Mauza - Sonbarsa, Police Station - Manjhi, District - Saran, At Present Residing At Mohalla- Naviganj In Front Of Nageshwar Colony, Ps - Bhagwan Bazar, District -saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP
	Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, Adv.for OP-2

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

9 08-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned

A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a
complaint case punishable for the offence under Sections 406,
420, 354(B), 468, 379, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.



3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the dispute between the parties has been settled amicably through the process of mediation and for this, the petitioners offered to pay **Rs. 2,41,750/-** (Rupees two lacs forty one thousand seven hundred fifty only) in two installments, as full and final settlement amount and opposite party no. 2 has accepted the offer and gave her consent.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 does not dispute and confirms the fact regarding settlement entered into between the parties.

5. Here, it is relevant to mention that earlier, with consent of the parties, the matter was referred to Mediation & Conciliation Centre of Patna High Court to explore the possibility of settlement between the parties, which has been resolved in terms of Memorandum of Agreement annexed with Mediator's report.

6. Considering the fact that dispute between the parties has been resolved, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners is allowed.

7. Let the petitioners, as named above, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of **Rs.10,000/-** (ten



thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with Complaint Case No. 792 of 2023 / Tr. No. 2593 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with further condition that *petitioner shall abide by all the terms & conditions, mentioned in Memorandum of Agreement, failing which, learned Court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail-bonds of petitioners.*

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

