

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88423 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-420 Year-2024 Thana- RAJAOLI District- Nawada

Sujit Kumar S/O Ram Udgar Prasad Yadav Resident of village - Ramdev, P.S.
- Akbarpur, District - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Verma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 30-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Rajauli P.S. Case No. 420 of 2024 registered for the
offences punishable under Section 309(4) of the B.N.S.,
2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is to the extent that
the informant has alleged that while he was driving the
goods carrier, he got the information on his mobile that
some goods had to be carried, and an advance of Rs. 500/-
was sent through a mobile. The informant has further
alleged that around three persons boarded his vehicle, and at

the border of Rajauli, the said accused persons at gunpoint snatched Rs. 17,600/- in cash; forced him to transfer around Rs. 2,300/- through UPI and also snatched his gold chain from the neck of the informant and his mobile.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case on mere suspicion. He further stated that his name has surfaced only on account of the amount transferred on his mobile; other than the same there is no evidence to connect the petitioner with the aforesaid evidence, as no other incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He lastly submits that the petitioner has a clean antecedent and he is in custody since 02.09.2024.

5. Learned APP has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has stated that the petitioner is involved in the alleged theft and there is proof of him accepting the cash of Rs. 2,300/- on his mobile.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and especially the fact that the petitioner is not

named in the F.I.R., and his name has surfaced only on the ground that Rs. 2,300/- has been sent to his mobile, and taking into consideration that the petitioner is in custody since 02.09.2024, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned A.C.J.M.-1, Nawada, in connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No. 420 of 2024.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

aditya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--