

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19746 of 2025

=====

Asif Ahmad Son of Idarish Ahamad, R/o village- Bankata Ajuwa, P.S.-
Raunapar, Dist.- Azamgarh, Pin Code- 276127, Uttar Pradesh.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chairman Bihar Public Service Commission, 15 Nehru Path (Baily Road), Patna.
3. The Director (Secondary Education), Human Resources Development Department, Bihar Patna.
4. The Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15 Nehru Path (Baily Road) Patna.
5. District Program Officer Establishment, District- Samastipur, Bihar.
6. District Education Officer, District- Samastipur, Bihar.
7. The Block Education Officer, Bithan, Dist.- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Suman Kumar Singh, Adv Mr. Md. Rasul Haque, Adv
For the State	:	Mr.Standing Counsel (10)
For the BPSC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Adv Mr. Nishant Kr. Jha, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

counsel appearing for the respondent-State and learned counsel

for the B.P.S.C.

2. In the present writ application, the petitioner has

challenged the letter no. 2976 dated 29.05.2025 (Annexure-



P/6), which as per the petitioner is an order passed against the petitioner.

3. Learned counsels for the respondent-State and BPSC submit that letter no. 2976 dated 29.05.2025 which has been challenged by the petitioner in the present writ application, does not contain any order against the petitioner. In fact, the said letter is merely a show cause notice issued to the petitioner. Submission is, therefore, made that the present writ application is completely ill-conceived and, therefore, it is fit to be dismissed summarily.

4. On being confronted with the above objection, learned counsel for the petitioner admits that letter no. 2976 dated 29.05.2025 (Annexure P/6) does not contain any order and in fact, it is a show cause notice which has been replied by the petitioner on 30.05.2025 vide Annexure P/7.

5. In view of the submission of the parties as noted above, it is clear that the challenge to the letter no. 2976 dated 29.05.2025 is totally ill-conceived because the said communication is merely a show cause notice and does not contain any order against the petitioner. The writ application is, therefore, dismissed. However, the District Programme Officer, (Establishment), Samastipur is directed to conclude the



proceeding arising out of letter no. 2976 dated 29.05.2025
within three months from today, after giving opportunity of
hearing to the petitioner.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U			
---	--	--	--

