

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88355 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2024 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

Navin Ranjan @ Navin Kumar Son of Late Priya Ranjan Kumar @ Priya Kumar Ranjan Resident of Mohalla- Pathar Gali, Sultanpur, P.S.-Sultanpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajendra Narain, Sr. Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-01-2025 Heard Mr. Rajendra Narain, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 195 of 2024 for the offence punishable under Sections 386 & 387 of the Indian Penal Code lodged on 25.02.2024 by the informant, Kunal Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that he got calls from the unknown international/nation number threatening to pay Rs. 2,00,000/- or else face the consequences. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that he is already in custody in connection with different case, as narrated in para-3 of the petition, the investigation took the Police to one Ajay Kumar and while in Police custody, he gave the names of persons, the petitioner being one of them. However, the submission is that he



being in custody, it is not natural and/or legal to record that he was in conversation with the said Ajay Kumar. Further, he has already suffered by being in custody having been remanded in the present case on 01.05.2024.

5. Learned APP on the other hand opposes the prayer submitting that as per the learned Sessions Judge order, he was found to be in regular conversation with the Ajay Kumar.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions put forward by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner/learned APP as also the fact that the petitioner at the relevant time was under judicial custody, in the present case has been remanded since 01.05.2024 and thus even in this case has remained in custody for eight months, the case has been lodged, investigation concluded, an undertaking has been given by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that he shall be diligently appearing in trial, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of bail with conditions.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Danapur or his successor Court, Danapur, in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 195 of 2024 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his



bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for next one year to mark his attendance;

(iv) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

