

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84442 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-458 Year-2025 Thana- HILSA District- Nalanda

Sunita Devi @ Shweta Kumari Wife of Ranjeet Kumar Resident of village -
Chakjohra Kala, Police Station - Hilsa, District - Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-12-2025 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection
with Hilsa P.S. Case No. 458 of 2025, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2),
351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is of causing
assault to the son of the informant along with co-accused
persons by means of *lathi*, *danda* and iron rod, leading to
serious injuries, besides further allegation against other accused
persons of causing assault to the informant and others also.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that
with regard to an occurrence, which took place in the evening of
09.07.2025, the present FIR came to be instituted on



12.07.2025, without there being any explanation for delay. Moreover, the petitioner is a woman having fair antecedent, facing omnibus allegation of causing assault to the son of the informant. The injury is not specifically attributed to the petitioner, though one of the injury is found to be grievous in nature. Referring to the injury report, learned Advocate for the petitioner further contended that the same has also been procured from a private nursing home and the same is also doubtful for the simple reason that it is mentioned that the patient came to hospital on 08.07.2025, though the occurrence took place in the evening of 09.07.2025. The petitioner undertakes that she will fully co-operate in the proceeding of the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that specifically petitioner assaulted the informant along with other co-accused persons, leading to grievous injury.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that the petitioner is woman, having fair antecedent, besides omnibus allegation as well as delay in lodging of the FIR, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, in the



event of her arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Hilsa, Nalanda in connection with Hilsa P.S. Case No. 458 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

