

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89488 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-773 Year-2022 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

Sanjeev Kumar Son of Dhanushdhari Paswan Resident of Village- Birupur,
P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pranav Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 25-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ghoshi P.S. Case No. 773 of 2022 dated 03.12.2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have strangled the informant's daughter to death and the dead body of the deceased was concealed by the accused persons. It is further alleged that when the informant came to the in-laws' house of his daughter



then he found that his Nati and Natini were missing from there.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. There is a delay of two days in lodging the F.I.R. without any explanation. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the informant's daughter. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and he has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the deceased, who was the wife of the petitioner, was strangled to death.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner. It is not fit case for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected and the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Court below concerned within six weeks from the date of this order and pray for regular bail, the learned Court below may consider the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in accordance



with law and on its own merits without being prejudiced by this order.

7. The application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

