

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84092 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2025 Thana- DORIGANJ District- Saran

=====

Ranjan Chaudhary @ Ranjan Kumar Chaudhary Son of Dinanath Chaudhary
Resident of Village - Bhairampur Nizamat, P.S.- Doriganj, District - Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Doriganj P.S. Case No. 93 of 2025, dated
09.03.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Section
30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act,
2022.

3. As per allegation, total 56 litre of country made
liquor has been recovered from the bush situated behind the
house of the petitioner and co-accused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that nothing has been recovered from
the house of the petitioner and recovery of the illicit liquor has



been made from an open space which is accessible to the public at large. The case against the petitioner is based only on suspicion. He further submits that no *prima facie* case is made out against the petitioner and hence, the present anticipatory bail petition is maintainable. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused person has already been enlarged on anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 09.07.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 41575 of 2025.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned concerned Court Below, in connection with Doriganj P.S. Case No. 93 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

