

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23899 of 2018

=====

Sita Ram Singh Son of Shri Tapeswar Singh Resident of Village-Khabhaini,
Tola, Malakaganj, P.S. Arwal, District- Arwal. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 4. The Secretary, Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 5. The District Magistrate, District- Arwal.
 6. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Sub Division, Arwal, District- Arwal.
 7. The Circle Officer, Circle- Arwal, District- Arwal.
 8. The S.H.O. Arwal, District- Arwal.
 9. Shri Bhagawan Prasad Gupta Son of Manikchand Saw Village and P.O.- Rampur Choaram. At Present Village Baidrabad, P.S. and District- Arwal.
- Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arjun Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, SC-25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 11-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for issuance of writ/writs certiorari of appropriate in nature for directing and commanding to the respondents to compliance the order dated 18.08.2018 passed by the Sub Divisional Officer, (S.D.O.) Arwal, District- Arwal and in case no. 113/2018 where by whereunder the sub divisional officer (S.D.O.), Arwal has initiated proceeding under section



44 of the Cr. P.C. over the land in question bearing Khata No. 104/236, Plot No. 636/1062 area 03. Katha situated at Baidarabad, P.S.-Arwal, District- Arwal and directed to district the construction work over the land in question but after issuance and service of order and notice the private respondent no.9 has not stopped the construction work over the land in question ignoring the order passed by the S.D.O. Arwal and as well praying for direction to the respondents for give possession of the petitioner over the land in question which has been illegally dispossessed by the private respondent No.9.

3. It has been informed by Mr. Arjun Prasad Singh that the matter has become infructuous and as such he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

