

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84231 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-04 Year-2025 Thana- Mufassil District- Khagaria

Dharmveer Yadav Son of Neti Yadav, R/o Village - Badi Kothiya, P.S. -  
Gangaur, Distt. - Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      23-12-2025                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection  
with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 04 of 2025, dated 07.01.2025,  
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 127(2),  
115(2), 109, 352 and 351(2)(3) read with Section 3(5) of the  
B.N.S., 2023 and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on  
06.01.2025, while the informant along with his brother was  
coming back to his house in the meantime, six FIR named accused  
persons riding on motorcycles surrounded the informant and  
started abusing him and questioned him as to why he gave witness  
in the case of Pandav Kumar. Thereafter, all of them pushed him  
and one Ranvir Yadav opened fire upon the informant and the shot  
hit on his chest.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against this petitioner, rather the allegation against him are general and omnibus and at best he could be considered as a member of the mob. The specific allegation of opening fire is against the co-accused Ranvir Yadav, who along with another co-accused Yogesh Yadav has been granted regular bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 26.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 27638 of 2025. Learned counsel lastly submits that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act attributed against this petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Khagaria, in connection with **Muffasil P.S. Case No. 04 of 2025**,



subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. / Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., as well as on the following conditions:

*(i) one of the bailors should be the family member / relative of the petitioner(s), who shall provide official document to show his / her bona fide;*

*(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the learned trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his / her / their bail bond by the learned trial Court itself;*

*(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidence, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bond;*

*(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.*

**(Ajit Kumar, J)**

Shahnawaz/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

