

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84629 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-649 Year-2025 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

=====

Ranjeet Kumar @ Ranjeet Yadav S/O Umesh Yadav R/O Village- Gandhi
Nagar, P.S Aurnagabad Town, Dist.- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Mukul Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mrs. Asha Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 649 of
2025, dated 17.10.2025, registered for the offences punishable
under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise
(Amendment) Act, 2022.

3. As per allegation, 23.25 litre of illicit liquor has
been recovered from the cowshed and 324 litre of foreign liquor
from the Gumti of co-accused/Umesh Yadav and as per further
case of the prosecution, the petitioner and co-accused/Vivek
Kumar are also involved in the trade of illicit liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. She further submits that the petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged offence and he is separate in mess and business from the co-accused/Umesh Yadav and only material against the petitioner is the confessional statement of co-accused/Umesh Yadav before the police which has no evidentiary value. She further submits that similarly situated co-accused person has already been enlarged on anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.12.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 84302 of 2025.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has three criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the fact that similarly situated co-accused person has already been enlarged on anticipatory bail, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the



date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court Below, in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 649 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

