

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84006 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-869 Year-2019 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District-
Kishanganj

=====

Lakhi Ram Soren @ Lakho Ram Soren @ Lakshmi Ram Soren S/o Renta
Soren @ Rangata Soren R/o Village - Baluadangi ward no. 13, P.S -
Terhagachh, District - Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Gauri Rani Marandi W/o Lakhi Ram Soren @ Lakho Ram Soren D/o Som
Marandi @ Dinesh Marandi, R/o Village - Baldati, P.S - Palasi, District -
Araria

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2025 Heard Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, learned APP

for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Complaint Case No. 869 of 2019 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 323, 498A and 379 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the
petitioner is the husband of the complainant. It is alleged that
their marriage was solemnized in the year 2009. It is further
alleged that the petitioner subjects the complainant to cruelty on



account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that actually the petitioner was married to the complainant 22 years ago. They are having four issues out of wedlock. It has also been submitted that three sons are living with the petitioner. He further submits that the case was sent to the Mediation Center, but the complainant is not ready to accompany the petitioner. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 02.08.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that the complainant is ready to continue the matrimonial relation.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with the condition that if the parties need, the trial court may again send the**



case for mediation. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kishanganj in connection with Complaint Case No. 869 of 2019.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

