

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85332 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-228 Year-2025 Thana- MANJHAGARH District- Gopalganj

Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Kumar Yadav S/O Baban Yadav Resident of Village-
Sahladpur, P.S- Manjhagarh, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 24-12-2025 Heard learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the

State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Manjhagarh P.S. Case No. 228 of 2025, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109,
303(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. In the backdrop of dispute relating to installation
of boring, all the FIR named accused persons including the
petitioner variously armed rushed to the house of the informant
and assaulted him. It is specifically alleged that this petitioner
gave a *garasi* blow over the head of the informant's husband.
leading to serious injury, besides the allegation of snatching of
valuables.



4. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the genesis of the occurrence is nothing but a land dispute, resulting into a scuffle, leading to unfortunate injuries to persons of both the side. There is a counter version of the present case being Manjhagarh P.S. Case No. 229 of 2025 instituted by the mother of the petitioner against the informant and others. Though the injury which is allegedly mentioned in the impugned order, but surprisingly the nature of the same has not been disclosed and as per the instruction learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the same is simple. It is further contended that be that as it may, the petitioner is a man of fair antecedent and he undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that the petitioner has assaulted the informant over his head, however, he was fortunate enough that he survived anyhow.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the genesis of the occurrence leading to institution of case and counter case, coupled with the submissions that the informant has sustained a simple nature of injury, besides the fair antecedent of the



petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gopalganj in connection with Manjhagarh P.S. Case No. 228 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

