

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.579 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-534 Year-2024 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

Sarjit Kumar Son of Uday Prasad @ Uday Yadav Resident of Village-
Khuriyalpur, Gauri Punda, P.S. - Fatuha, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manish Rai Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Fatuha P.S.Case No.534 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Section 30 (a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act, 2018.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, altogether 1.8 ltrs. of country-made liquor has been recovered from a motorcycle bearing Registration No.BR01AR 0757.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the case due to local village politics. Learned counsel further submits that the said motorcycle was parked in the public place, which is easily accessible to anyone.



Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, considering the nature of allegation made in the FIR, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Court of Excise, Patna City, in connection with Fatuha P.S.Case No.534 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

