

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83718 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2025 Thana- KOPA District- Saran

1. Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Kumar Yadav S/O Nageshwar Yadav R/O Village-Tadwa Pojhiya Tarwan, Police Station- Kopa, District- Saran
2. Rahul Kumar @ Rahul Kumar Yadav S/O Nageshwar Yadav R/O Village-Tadwa Pojhiya Tarwan, Police Station- Kopa, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mani Shankar Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar No. 2, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-12-2025 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Kopa P.S. Case No. 121 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 109, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Based upon the written report, the prosecution alleges that while the informant has been residing in her matrimonial home along with her children and her husband was living outside the State to earn the money, in the meanwhile, the petitioners along with others brutally assaulted her and snatched the valuables. The accused persons, including the petitioners,



are continuously threatening the informant to leave the house, failing which she would be killed by them.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are none else but the brother in laws of the informant and only on account of a family feud and partition dispute amongst the brothers, in order to settle the score and wrecking vengeance, the present FIR has been lodged. The alleged occurrence took place in the evening of 13.05.2025, but the present FIR came to be instituted on 15.05.2025, without there being any plausible explanation. Moreover, the petitioners are men of fair antecedent and even if the allegation is taken to be true for the sake of argument, no offence much less under Section 109 of the BNS is made out.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that the petitioners have brutally assaulted the informant, who is none else but own *bhabhi*.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the nature of allegation as well as the relationship between the parties, coupled with the simple nature of injury as well as the fair antecedent, let the petitioners above named be released on



bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) **each** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra in connection with Kopa P.S. Case No. 121 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

