

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85050 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2025 Thana- UCHKAGAON District- Gopalganj

Ranjit Goswami S/O Satyanarayan Goswami Resident of Village- Jamsar
Bazar, P.S.- Uchkagaon, District - Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey No.5, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Mohammad Sufyan, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr.Harsh Singh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
offence under Sections 103, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and
Section 27(3) of the Arms Act.

3. As per F.I.R., the informant, who claims to be eye-
witness to the occurrence, alleges that F.I.R. named accused
persons made indiscriminate firing upon his elder brother, on
account of which, elder brother of informant sustained gut-shot
injury on his chest and abdomen. Thereafter, injured (brother of
informant) was brought to Sadar Hospital, Gopalganj on
Scorpio for treatment where the doctor declared him dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and his name surfaced
during investigation. He further submits that it is the specific
case of the informant, who claims to be eye-witness to the



occurrence, that F.I.R. named accused persons committed murder of elder brother of informant. The informant did not raise any suspicion or whisper about this petitioner. Three F.I.R. named accused persons have already been granted regular bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 23.06.2025 passed in Cr.Misc. No. 27665 of 2025 with Cr.Misc. No. 36933 of 2025 (Annexure P/3) and petitioner is in custody since 03.03.2025. Chargesheet has been submitted.

5. Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that though, petitioner is not named, but his involvement in the occurrence has surfaced during course of investigation. He further submits that vide aforesaid order (Annexure P/3), F.I.R. named accused persons were granted bail by the coordinate Bench of this Court, considering the fact that during investigation, sufficient materials were collected against this petitioner and other co-accused and as such, this petitioner does not deserve privilege of bail.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, period of custody and the fact that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and informant, who claims to be eye-witness to the occurrence, has specifically mentioned in his *Fardbeyan* that F.I.R. named



accused persons committed the murder of his brother, the prayer for bail of petitioner is allowed.

7. Let the petitioner, named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge – III, Gopalganj in connection with Sessions Trial No. 208 of 2025, arising out of Uchkagaon P.S. Case No. 12 of 2025.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

