

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22645 of 2018**

=====

Chandan Singh @ Chandan Kumar Singh Son of Deodhar Singh, Resident of  
Ward No.15, Village- Sanhauli, Goshala Road, P.S.- Chitragupta Nagar,  
District- Khagaria, Bihar- 851205.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Khagaria, Police Station and District-  
Khagaria.
3. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Khagaria, Police Station and District-  
Khagaria.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Khagaria, Police Station and District-  
Khagaria.
5. The Circle Officer, Khagaria, Police Station and District- Khagaria.
6. The Executive Engineer, Road Construction Department, Khagaria, Police  
Station and District- Khagar
7. The Land Acquisition Officer, Khagaria, Police Station and District-  
Khagaria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Basant Kumar Tripathy, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Archana Meenakshee -Gp-6

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      10-11-2025                      Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the  
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of mandamus or any other  
writ/order/orders/direction/directions  
directing the respondents to for bear from  
construction of the canals through the*



*petitioner's land as the petitioner is husband of the landlady Nita Devi @ Nita Singh whose land has forcibly been occupied by the respondent no. 5 without approval and consent from the landlady who has been suffering from cancer at Soniya Gandhi post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.*

3. The counter affidavit of the Circle Officer, Khagaria is on record after service of copy in the year 2020 itself, according to which it has been recorded that the petitioner has alternative remedy and without availing the same, he has invoked writ jurisdiction of this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to approach the appropriate authority for the redressal of the grievance.

5. The State has no objection.

6. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority and in case, the same is filed in next four weeks, the concerned authority shall look in the matter and dilute the delay in filing the same as the matter was pending before this Court since the



year 2018.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

