

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84159 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2025 Thana- MOHAMMADPUR District- Gopalganj

Ranjeet Kumar Yadav @ Ranjeet Kumar Son of Rajeshvar Prasad Yadav
Village-Nabiganj, P.S.-Lakri, District-Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narsingh Tanti, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mohammadpur P.S. Case No. 84 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 310(4), 310(5), 317(5) of B.N.S. and 25(1-b) a/26/35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution-case, four persons were apprehended. A country made pistol and two live cartridges were recovered from the possession of apprehended co-accused Karan Singh and a knife, an Iron fighter and a mobile were recovered from the possession of another accused The apprehended persons disclosed the name of the petitioner who is said to have fled away from the place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence, as alleged in the F.I.R. There is alleged recovery of incriminating material from the possession of co-accused and one Alto car was also recovered from the place of occurrence, but petitioner is not, in any way, connected with car in question and no incriminating article was recovered from his possession. Except disclosure of the co-accused there is nothing on record to connect the petitioner with the occurrence. He has further submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner orally submits that co-accused is a person of neighbouring locality and on account of the said reason, his name has been falsely implicated in the present case and no offence, as alleged in the F.I.R., is made out. It has been orally submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. vehemently opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and he cannot escape from the allegation made in the F.I.R. Hence, petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, clean antecedent of the petitioner, arguments advanced on



behalf of both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gopalganj in connection with Mohammadpur P.S. Case No. 84 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section-482(2) of B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

